metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

Trans influence in a mer-octahedral triiodidolanthanide: triiodidotris(tetra-hydrofuran- κO)ytterbium(III)

Thomas J. Emge,* A. Kornienko and John G. Brennan

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8087, USA Correspondence e-mail: emge@rutgers.edu

Received 10 September 2009 Accepted 21 September 2009 Online 10 October 2009

The structure of the six-coordinate title complex, [YbI₃- $(C_4H_8O_3]$, is the first *mer*-octahedral form of an LnI₃L₃ lanthanide (Ln) compound with neutral L ligands, and is closely related to that of several of the seven-coordinate LnX_3L_4 series of compounds, where X = Cl, Br or I and L =tetrahydrofuran (THF), isopropanol, pyridine or water. A structural *trans* effect can be assigned to YbI₃(THF)₃, in contrast to the LnX_3L_4 compounds, where steric and crystal packing effects are significant. The Yb-I bond lengths are 2.9543 (4) and 2.9151 (6) Å for I trans and cis to I, respectively, and the Yb-O bond lengths are 2.299 (5) and 2.251 (3) Å for O trans and cis to I, respectively. The crystal packing allows for six contact distances as weak $C-H \cdot \cdot I$ interactions in the range 3.10–3.24 Å. The title molecule has a crystallographic twofold axis passing through a THF O atom, the trans I atom and the Yb atom.

Comment

The crystal structures of many lanthanide (Ln) halide (X)compounds with one or more neutral ligands (L) are well known. For compounds with X = Cl, Br or I and small to moderate size neutral Lewis base ligands like tetrahydrofuran (THF), 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME), pyridine (py), hexamethylphosphoramide [O=P(NMe₂)₃], isopropyl alcohol (i-PrOH) and water (H₂O), the most likely species to crystallize is the seven-coordinate LnX_nL_{7-n} . This situation is apparently the case whether the lanthanide is di- or trivalent, where the number of neutral organic ligands is usually five or four, respectively. Excluding LnX_6 ions, there are only 18 sixcoordinate monomeric LnX_3L_3 species with L as described above in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) to date (Version 5.30; Allen, 2002). However, the variety of Ln atom is not diminished, and the size of the trivalent lanthanide radii appears to play no role in allowing octahedral coordination, as LnX_3L_3 compounds are observed with Ln = La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Dy, Yb and Lu, and X = Cl, except for one X = Brcompound, YbBr₃(THF)₃ (Deacon et al., 2000). Additionally,

the organic ligands (*L*) may be small (*e.g.* H₂O, py or THF) or large (*e.g.* triphenylarsine oxide; Ryan *et al.*, 1987) and still yield a six-coordinate compound. This group of compounds includes the two LnX_3 (THF)₃ analogs most closely related to the title compound, (I) (Fig. 1), where Ln/X = Lu/Br (Magomedov *et al.*, 1992) or Yb/Cl (Deacon *et al.*, 1993).



For the six-coordinate LnX_3L_3 compounds, both fac and mer isomers are found in the CSD for X = Cl, but only mer isomers are found for X = Br, presumably as a result of the increased size of the bromide ion compared with the chloride ion. The title compound is the only six-coordinate triiodido species of this type, and a trans influence on bond geometries is evident, as shown by the data in Table 1. Other mer-octahedral trihalides of this type with significant trans influence are mer-YbBr₃(THF)₃ (Deacon et al., 2000) and mer-SmBr₃-[O=P(NMe₂)₃]₂THF (Asakura & Imamoto, 2001). Of the seven mer isomers, five are $LnCl_3L_3$, viz. mer-YbCl_3(THF)₃ (Qian et al., 1993), mer-LuCl₃(THF)₃ (Magomedov et al., 1992), mer-DyCl₃[O=P(NMe₂)₃]₃ (Zhang et al., 1987), mer-PrCl₃[O=P(NMe₂)₃]₃ (Radonovich & Glick, 1973) and mer-YbCl₃[O=P(NMe₂)₃]₃ (Hou et al., 1991), and two are LnBr₃- L_3 , viz. mer-YbBr₃(THF)₃ and mer-SmBr₃[O=P(NMe₂)₃]₂-THF. The *fac* isomers of six-coordinate LnX_3L_3 usually have larger L ligands, as in fac-trichloridotris(3-bromo-4-methoxylutidine N-oxide-O)praseodymium (Ban-Oganowska et al., 2002), fac-trichloridotris(triphenylarsine oxide)cerium (Ryan et al., 1987), fac-trichloridotris(2,6-dimethyl-4-pyrone)gadolinium (Bisi Castellani & Tazzoli, 1984), fac-trichloridotris-(hexamethylphosphoramide-O)samarium (Petricek et al.,





The molecular structure of YbI₃(THF)₃, with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry code: (i) -x, y, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$.]

2000), fac-trichlorido(caprolactonato-O)bis(tetrahydrofuran)vtterbium (Evans et al., 1995) and fac-trichloridotris[bis-(diethylamido)trichloroacetylamidophosphoric acid]praseodymium (Amirkhanov et al., 1995). Instances of fac-Ln- $Cl_3[O=P(NMe_2)_3]_3$ are also known, namely, the isostructural series Ln = La, Pr, Sm, Eu, Nd and Gd (Petricek et al. 2000). The only instance of a seven-coordinate Ln_3X_3 compound approximating a *fac* isomer is when the sterically constrained tripodal ligand tris(2-pyridylmethyl)amine is used in a triiodidocerium complex (Natrajan et al., 2005).

When bidentate DME ligand is used, the seven-coordinate compound is most likely to be produced, and there are over 80 instances in the CSD of LnX_3Y_4 , where Y is the binding O atom (or N atom for di-, tri- or tetraamine) of a bidentate organic ligand. Unlike the Ce compound mentioned above, about 20 are of the type $LnX_3(DME)_2$, with X = Cl or Br only. There is one other LnI_3Y_4 compound, where Y are O atoms from a multidentate ligand, namely tetraglyme (Vestergren et al., 2004). In a broad sense, the nine compounds in the CSD of the type LnI_3L_4 , where L are not multidentate, approximate the mer conformation (namely, having one nearly linear I-Ln-I angle and two $\sim 90^{\circ}$ I-Ln-I angles), even though there is seven-coordinate binding. This situation is presumably also due to the large volume occupied by each iodide ligand and to the fact that two I atoms are axial in the pentagonal bipyramidal LnI_3L_4 complexes.

In the search for $LnI_3(Y = O, N)_n$ species, the CSD revealed no six-coordinate, one nine-coordinate, five eight-coordinate and ten seven-coordinate compounds, with five compounds having tripodal ligands. For LnI_3L_n and $LnBr_3L_n$, neither L =py nor $L = H_2O$ species are found in the CSD. There are four $LnI_3(THF)_4$ compounds in the CSD; their Ln-I and Ln-Odistances are compared with those of the title compound in Table 2, which shows a persistent *trans* influence on the O atom of the two THF ligands furthest from the equatorial I atom (the other two I atoms are trans to each other). However, as seven-coordinate pentagonal bipyramidal compounds with five equatorial ligands, these LnI₃(THF)₄ compounds appear to have geometries significantly influenced by steric effects, especially with respect to the equatorial I ligand, such that the cis (e.g. equatorial) Ln-I bond is about 0.06 Å longer than either of the trans Ln-I bonds.

For $LnBr_3(O,N)_n$ compounds, there are 11 seven-coordinate, one six-coordinate and one eight-coordinate species in the CSD, with five having tripodal ligands. Of these, one is YbBr₃(THF)₃ (Deacon *et al.*, 2000) and four are LnBr₃(THF)₄. Details of their lanthanide bond geometries, as well as those of SmBr₃(*i*-PrOH)₄ (Depero et al., 1991) and $NdBr_3(THF)_2(i-PrOH)_2$ (with THF ligands nearly *trans* to the equatorial Br atom; Hong et al., 1994), are also compared with the Yb-I and Yb-O bonds of the title compound in Table 3. There are many instances of $LnCl_3L_3$ and $LnCl_3L_4$, so bond comparisons here will be restricted to only L = THF, py and H₂O, the smallest ligands in the series, and these compounds are included in Table 2.

For lanthanides with coordination saturated with halogens, only the LnX_6 ions are found in the CSD. For LnX_6 , the range of Ln-X bonds for any Ln and X is about ± 0.02 Å from the average, which is not very narrow, even though these compounds are simple octahedra, indicating the likelihood of short-range nonsymmetrical crystal packing effects. There is one reported X = Br species, $EuBr_6$, and the Eu - Br bonds are 2.80 (2) Å (Pellens *et al.*, 2008); there are seven LnI_6 [Ln = La, Pr, Nd (three examples), Sm and Er] compounds, with La-I bonds of 3.16 (2) Å (Babai & Mudring, 2006a), Pr-I bonds of 3.13 (2) Å (Babai & Mudring, 2005b), Nd-I bonds of 3.11 (2) Å (Babai & Mudring, 2005a; Babai & Mudring, 2006b), Sm-I bonds of 3.09 (2) Å (Babai & Mudring, 2005a) and Er-I bonds of 3.03 (1) Å (Babai & Mudring, 2006a); and there are 35 LnCl₆ with an overall average Ln-Cl bond of 2.68 (2) Å and a range of 2.51–2.89 for the entire Ln series, but with a similar 0.01–0.03 Å variation from the mean for any given compound.

In summary, the $YbI_3(THF)_3$ complex reported here is the first instance of a six-coordinate triiodidolanthanide. In addition, the observed *mer* conformation allows for the possibility of a trans influence, which is rarely seen in lanthanide compounds. The bonds affected are the Yb-I and Yb-O bonds, which are 0.03 and 0.05 Å longer when trans to an I atom. Finally, six $H \cdots I$ contact distances in the range 3.10 to 3.24 Å are observed (Table 3), which are thus of the order of the van der Waals radii sum (3.18 Å; Bondi, 1964). Although these distances are consistent with weak hydrogen-bond interactions, the THF ligand is traditionally expected to be a poor hydrogen-bond donor.

Experimental

All syntheses were carried out under ultrapure nitrogen (JWS), using conventional dry-box or Schlenk techniques. Solvents (Fisher) were refluxed continuously over molten alkali metals or K/benzophenone and were collected immediately prior to use. Yb was purchased from Strom. PhSeSePh was purchased from Aldrich and recrystallized from hexane. For the synthesis of the title compound, Yb (2.0 mmol), PhSeSePh (2.0 mmol), iodine (1.0 mmol) and Hg (0.25 mmol) were combined in THF (50 ml). The mixture was stirred until all the metal was consumed. To the resulting yellow solution, elemental S (2.0 mmol) was added. After 24 h, the yellow solution was filtered and layered with hexanes (15 ml) to give yellow crystals. This procedure was originally designed to yield the Yb analog of an Er 'double-cubane' cluster compound, viz. (THF)₁₀Er₆S₆I₆·6(THF) (Kornienko et al., 2005).

Crystal data	
$[YbI_3(C_4H_8O)_3]$	V = 1956.9 (3) Å ³
$M_r = 770.05$	Z = 4
Orthorhombic, Pbcn	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 9.3507 (7) Å	$\mu = 9.51 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 14.6003 (11) Å	T = 100 K
c = 14.3335 (11) Å	0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.10 mm

Data collection

- Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan
- (SADABS; Bruker, 2003) $T_{\min} = 0.22, \ T_{\max} = 0.41$

8941 measured reflections 2402 independent reflections 1808 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.037$

Table 1

Selected met	al atom	bond	lengths	(Å)	and	angles	(°)	for	YbI ₃ (THF)	3.

Yb1-I1	2.9543 (4)	Yb1-O1	2.251 (3)
Yb1-I2	2.9151 (6)	Yb1-O2	2.299 (5)
$I1 - Yb1 - I1^i$	177.044 (18)	O2-Yb1-I2	180.0
I1-Yb1-I2	91.478 (9)	O1 ¹ -Yb1-O1	166.1 (2)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, y, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Table 2

Average Ln - X and Ln - Y distances *trans/cis* to atom X or Y for LnX_3L_3 and LnX_3L_4 , where X = I, Br and Cl, Y = O and N, and L = THF, py, *i*-PrOH, H₂O and O=P[N(Me₂)]₃.

The estimates of uncertainty in the distances are in the range 0.001-0.007 Å.

	Average trans/cis Ln-(I, Br or Cl) (Å)		Average trans/cis Ln-(O or N) (Å)	Neutral ligand, <i>L</i>	Reference
Six-coor	dinate comp	ounds			
Yb-I	2.95/2.92	Yb-O	2.30/2.25	THF	This work
Yb-Br	2.71/2.66	Yb-O	2.33/2.26	THF	Deacon et al. (2000)
Sm-Br	2.85/2.82	Sm-O	2.47/2.28	THF	Asakura & Imamoto (2001)
Yb-Cl	2.53/2.51	Yb-O	2.36/2.27	THF	Qian et al. (1993)
Lu-Cl	2.53/2.50	Lu-O	2.32/2.27	THF	Magomedov et al. (1992)
Dy-Cl	2.73/2.71	Dy-O	2.35/2.35	<i>i</i> -PrOH	Radonovich & Glick (1973)
Yb-Cl	2.59/2.58	Yb–O	2.22/2.23	i-PrOH	Hou et al. (1991)
Seven-co	ordinate co	mpounds			
La-I	3 14/3 19	La-O	2 56/2 52	THE	Trifonov et al. (1997)
Ce-I	3 12/3 18	Ce = 0	2.54/2.50	THE	Liddle & Arnold (2005)
Pr-I	3.10/3.16	Pr-O	2.51/2.47	THF	Izod <i>et al.</i> (2004)
Nd-I	3.08/3.15	Nd-O	2.50/2.46	THF	Balashova <i>et al.</i> (2007)
La-I	3.20/3.21	La-O	2.51/2.51	<i>i</i> -PrOH	Barnhart <i>et al.</i> (1995)
Ce-I	3.17/3.19	Ce-O	2.48/2.49	<i>i</i> -PrOH	Barnhart et al. (1995)
La-Br	2.90/2.90	La-O	2.55/2.51	THF	Deacon et al. (2000)
Ce-Br	2.88/2.91	Ce-O	2.55/2.50	THF	Hitchcock et al. (2004)
Pr-Br	2.87/2.90	Pr-O	2.53/2.49	THF	Petricek (2004)
Sm-Br	2.82/2.86	Sm-O	2.49/2.44	THF	Petricek (2004)
Nd-Br	2.85/2.83	Nd-O	2.52/2.45	THF,	Hong et al. (1994)
				<i>i</i> -PrOH	,
Sm-Br	2.85/2.80	$\rm Sm-O$	2.49/2.43	<i>i</i> -PrOH	Depero et al. (1991)
Eu-Cl	2.62/2.64	Eu-O	2.47/2.45	THF	Kong et al. (1998)
Gd-Cl	2.62/2.66	Gd-O	2.46/2.48	THF	Willey et al. (1997)
Sm-Cl	2.68/2.68	$\rm Sm-O$	2.49/2.45	THF	Lin et al. (1992)
Nd-Cl	2.67/2.67	Nd-O	2.52/2.48	THF	Chen et al. (1987)
La-Cl	2.66/2.68	La-N	2.62/2.59	ру	Li et al. (2002)
Eu-Cl	2.64/2.67	Eu-N	2.61/2.59	ру	Hu et al. (1986)
Er-Cl	2.58/2.62	Er-N	2.54/2.50	ру	Li et al. (2002)
Yb-Cl	2.56/2.60	Yb-N	2.51/2.49	ру	Deacon et al. (2006)
Er-Cl	2.60/2.66	Er-O	2.37/2.32	H_2O	Hines et al. (2008)
Tm-Cl	2.64/2.62	Tm - O	2.35/2.35	H_2O	Semenova et al. (2006)
Yb-Cl	2.63/2.62	Yb-O	2.33/2.26	H_2O	Semenova et al. (2006)
Lu-Cl	2.59/2.60	Lu-O	2.35/2.28	H_2O	Semenova et al. (2006)
Er-Cl	2.64/2.63	Er-O	2.35/2.29	H_2O	Semenova et al. (2006)

Table 3

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D = H \cdots A$
<i>D</i> II	11 /1	DI	
0.99	3.24	3.926 (6)	128
0.99	3.20	3.785 (6)	120
0.99	3.10	4.014 (6)	155
0.99	3.23	3.715 (8)	112
0.99	3.22	3.879 (7)	126
0.99	3.22	3.706 (7)	112
	<i>D</i> -H 0.99 0.99 0.99 0.99 0.99 0.99 0.99	D-H H···A 0.99 3.24 0.99 3.20 0.99 3.10 0.99 3.23 0.99 3.22 0.99 3.22	$D-H$ $H\cdots A$ $D\cdots A$ 0.993.243.926 (6)0.993.203.785 (6)0.993.104.014 (6)0.993.233.715 (8)0.993.223.879 (7)0.993.223.706 (7)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, y, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z$; (iii) x + 1, y, z; (iv) $x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$.

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.029$	88 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.066$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.00	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.35 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
2402 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.74 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

All H atoms were located in difference Fourier maps and then treated as riding atoms. H atoms bonded to the THF C atoms were allowed to ride in geometrically idealized positions, with C–H = 0.99 Å (CH₂) and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2003); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2009).

We acknowledge the NSF (grant No. CHE-0747165) and the New Jersey State Commission on Science and Technology for their generous support.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: GG3217). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Amirkhanov, V. M., Ovchinnikov, V. A., Kapshuk, A. A. & Scopenko, V. V. (1995). Zh. Neorg. Khim. 40, 1869–1871.
- Asakura, K. & Imamoto, T. (2001). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 74, 731-732.
- Babai, A. & Mudring, A.-V. (2005a). Inorg. Chem. 44, 8168-8169.
- Babai, A. & Mudring, A.-V. (2005b). Chem. Mater. 17, 6230-6238.
- Babai, A. & Mudring, A.-V. (2006a). J. Alloys Compd, 418, 122-127.
- Babai, A. & Mudring, A.-V. (2006b). Inorg. Chem. 45, 4874–4876.
- Balashova, T. V., Kusayev, D. M., Kulikova, T. I., Kuznetsova, O. N., Edelmann, F. T., Giessmann, S., Blaurock, S. & Bochkarev, M. N. (2007). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 633, 256–260.
- Ban-Oganowska, H., Godlewska, P., Macalik, L., Waskowska, A., Hanuza, J., Oganowski, W. & Legendziewicz, J. (2002). J. Alloys Compd, 341, 87– 97.
- Barnhart, D. M., Frankcom, T. M., Gordon, P. L., Sauer, N. N., Thompson, J. A. & Watkin, J. G. (1995). *Inorg. Chem.* **34**, 4862–4867.
- Bisi Castellani, C. & Tazzoli, V. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 1832-1834.
- Bondi, A. (1964). J. Phys. Chem. 68, 441-451.
- Bruker (2003). SMART, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chen, W., Jin, Z., Xing, Y., Fan, Y. & Yang, G. (1987). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **130**, 125–129.
- Deacon, G. B., Feng, T., Junk, P. C., Meyer, G., Scott, N. M., Skelton, B. W. & White, A. H. (2000). Aust. J. Chem. 53, 853–865.
- Deacon, G. B., Feng, T., Nickel, S., Skelton, B. W. & White, A. H. (1993). Chem. Commun. pp. 1328–1329.
- Deacon, G. B., Scott, N. M., Skelton, B. W. & White, A. H. (2006). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 632, 1945–1946.
- Depero, L. E., Arienti, M. T., Zocchi, M. & Gallazzi, M. C. (1991). Struct. Chem. 2, 595–601.
- Evans, W. J., Shreeve, J. L., Ziller, J. W. & Doedens, R. J. (1995). Inorg. Chem. 34, 576–585.
- Hines, C. C., Cocalia, V. A. & Rogers, R. D. (2008). Chem. Commun. pp. 226–228.
- Hitchcock, P. B., Hulkes, A. G. & Lappert, M. F. (2004). Inorg. Chem. 43, 1031– 1038.
- Hong, Y., Sun, C., Hui, H., Guang, X. X., Zhe, S. M. & Shi, N.-C. (1994). Chin. Chem. Lett. 5, 255–257.

Hou, Z., Kobayashi, K. & Yamazaki, H. (1991). Chem. Lett. pp. 265-266.

Hu, N.-H., Lin, Y.-H., Shen, Q., Xing, Y. & Shi, E.-D. (1986). *Huaxue Xuebao* (Acta Chim. Sin.), 44, 388–390.

Izod, K., Liddle, S. T. & Clegg, W. (2004). Inorg. Chem. 43, 214-218.

- Kong, D.-Y., Wang, S.-W., Zhu, Q., Xie, Y.-Y. & Huang, X.-Y. (1998). Jiegou Huaxue (Chin. J. Struct. Chem.), 17, 61–63.
- Kornienko, A., Emge, T. J., Kumar, G. A., Riman, R. E. & Brennan, J. G. (2005). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 3501–3505.
- Li, J.-S., Neumuller, B. & Dehnicke, K. (2002). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 628, 45–47.
- Liddle, S. T. & Arnold, P. L. (2005). Organometallics, 24, 2597-2605.
- Lin, G.-Y., Wei, G.-C., Jin, Z.-S. & Chen, W.-Q. (1992). Jiegou Huaxue (Chin. J. Struct. Chem.), 11, 200–202.
- Magomedov, G. K.-I., Voskoboinikov, A. Z., Kirillova, N. I., Gusev, A. I., Parshina, I. N. & Beletskaya, I. P. (1992). *Metalloorg. Khim.* 5, 679–681.
- Natrajan, L., Pecaut, J., Mazzanti, M. & LeBrun, C. (2005). Inorg. Chem. 44, 4756–4757.
- Pellens, M., Thijs, B., Van Hecke, K., Van Meervelt, L., Binnemans, K. & Nockemann, P. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, m945.
- Petricek, S. (2004). Polyhedron, 23, 2293-2301.
- Petricek, S., Demsar, A., Golic, L. & Kosmrlj, J. (2000). Polyhedron, 19, 199– 204.

- Qian, C.-T., Wang, B., Deng, D.-L., Xu, C., Sun, X.-Y. & Ling, R.-G. (1993). Jiegou Huaxue (Chin. J. Struct. Chem.), 12, 18–20.
- Radonovich, L. J. & Glick, M. D. (1973). J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 35, 2745– 2746.
- Ryan, R. R., Larson, E. M., Payne, G. F. & Peterson, J. R. (1987). *Inorg. Chim.* Acta, 131, 267–271.
- Semenova, L. I., Skelton, B. W. & White, A. H. (2006). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 632, 2405–2418.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Trifonov, A. A., van de Weghe, P., Collin, J., Domingos, A. & Santos, I. (1997). J. Organomet. Chem. 527, 225–237.
- Vestergren, M., Gustafsson, B., Johansson, A. & Hakansson, M. (2004). J. Organomet. Chem. 689, 1723–1733.
- Westrip, S. P. (2009). publCIF. In preparation.
- Willey, G. R., Woodman, T. J. & Drew, M. G. B. (1997). *Polyhedron*, **16**, 3385–3393.
- Zhang, X.-W., Lu, X.-F., Benetollo, F. & Bombieri, G. (1987). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 139, 103–104.